



NUTRITIONAL DOSES OF ZINC SUPPLY EFFECT ON GROWTH, YIELD AND METABOLIC STUDIES OF CHICK PEA (*CICER ARIETINUM* L.) VARIETIES

Shashank Srivastav^{1,*}, C. B. Verma¹, A. K. Singh¹, A. K. Srivastava² and Pawan Kumar Singh²

¹Department of Crop Physiology, C.S.A. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur - 208 002 (U.P.), India.

²Department of Agronomy, C.S.A. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur - 208 002 (U.P.), India.

Abstract

Field experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2016-17 and 2017-18 at Students Instructional Farm at same location of field both year, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology Kanpur-208 002, U.P., India. The experiment design was applied Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replication, four varieties and four treatments. The chick pea varieties viz., V₁ (KWR 108), V₂ (KGD 1168), V₃ (Udai) and V₄ (Pragati) with four different doses of ZnSO₄ viz., T₁ (Control), T₂ (2.5kg/ha), T₃ (5.0kg/ha) and T₄ (7.5kg/ha) as soil applied, then total treatment 16 at different stages and at harvest. Among these dose of ZnSO₄ (5.0 kg/ha) was increased maximum plant height (cm/plant), number of leaves per plant, leaf area per plant, relative growth rate, percentage of pod setting, seed yield (g) per plant and protein content in seed. Result revealed that application of 5.0 kg/ha ZnSO₄ were significantly increase all above observation in comparison to other varieties and control (Zn 0 kg/ha) at both the year. However, application of ZnSO₄ @5 kg/ha on chick pea produce significantly maximum seed yield in comparison to control. Among the variety V₁ (KWR108) was increased in comparison to other variety.

Key words : Chickpea, zinc nutrient, plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area per plant, relative growth rate, percentage of pod setting, seed yield(g) per plant, biological yield(kg/ha), protein content in seed.

Introduction

Zinc has been reported to play an important role in the metabolism of plant. Thus, through their water culture experiment included that relatively larger quality of phenolic materials sterols and lecithin's in zinc deficient leaves or sub-oxidized product of carbohydrates and protein metabolism arising due to disturbed oxidation reduction with in the leaf cells they further concluded that zinc is concerned with the functioning of the sulfhydryl compounds.

The chickpea grains are rich in minerals and vitamins and it is an excellent source of human, animal feed because of high protein content and it plays a major role in agricultural practices by fixing atmospheric nitrogen in the soil. Chickpea is an important source of protein and it is the protein rich supplement to cereal-based diets, especially to the agrarian population of the country and cheaper source of protein in developing countries. Their

high protein content is perfect for those wishing to replace red meat in their diet. Deep and tap roots of chickpea improves soil structure and nodules present on roots help in restoration of soil fertility. Besides, crop also offers scope for diversification of cereal based cropping systems and in sustaining agricultural production. The chickpea proteins are rich in lysine and have low sulphur containing amino acids.

Zinc micronutrient is involved in auxin formation activation of carbonic anhydrogenase, dehydrogenase enzyme and stabilization of ribosomal fraction. Zinc play significant role in chlorophyll formation, carbohydrates metabolism synthesis of protein and activation of oxidation process and enzymes. Nitrogen deficiency is the most important which is almost of universal occurrence in Indian soils. Nitrogen is a primary element and to special importance in the formation of protein in plants. It is also present in chlorophyll green pigments that are receptor of high energy in photosynthesis. In present investigation

**Author of correspondence:* E-mail: shashank.srivastav23@gmail.com

Table 2 : Effect of nutritional level of zinc on number of leaves per plant of chick pea (*Cicer arietinum*L.) varieties.

Treatment	Number of leaves per plant							
	30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS		Harvest	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
Varieties								
V ₁	23.23	24.49	191.88	201.49	205.57	216.15	28.22	29.67
V ₂	23.17	24.33	171.08	179.52	183.29	192.34	25.16	26.40
V ₃	22.61	23.74	168.06	176.50	180.05	189.10	24.71	25.96
V ₄	22.23	23.35	161.68	169.71	173.22	181.82	23.78	24.96
SE (m)±	0.27	0.31	2.53	3.77	2.79	2.94	0.50	0.65
CD at 5%	0.78	N.S.	7.32	10.91	8.08	8.51	1.46	1.89
Zinc levels (Kg/ha)								
0	22.10	23.29	155.98	163.90	167.11	175.62	22.94	24.10
2.5	23.03	24.09	179.92	188.82	192.76	202.29	26.46	27.77
5.0	23.45	24.62	190.51	200.05	204.11	214.58	28.02	29.45
7.5	22.67	23.89	166.28	174.46	178.15	186.91	24.45	25.66
SE (m)±	0.27	0.31	2.53	3.77	2.79	2.94	0.50	0.65
CD at 5%	0.78	0.91	7.32	10.91	8.08	8.51	1.46	1.89
Interaction V×Zn								
SE (m)±	0.54	0.63	5.07	7.55	5.59	5.89	1.01	1.31
CD at 5%	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.

Table 3 : Effect of nutritional level of zinc on leaf area (cm²) per plant.

Treatment	Leaf area (cm ²) per plant					
	30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
Varieties						
V ₁	124.37	128.50	556.56	575.01	560.91	579.52
V ₂	121.94	125.99	544.85	562.11	549.34	569.43
V ₃	120.08	124.40	537.36	555.20	542.35	560.31
V ₄	113.60	117.37	508.35	525.21	513.99	530.34
SE (m)±	1.26	1.74	5.43	7.93	6.30	6.03
CD at 5%	3.63	5.04	15.70	22.93	18.20	17.42
Zinc levels (Kg/ha)						
0	114.17	117.96	510.06	526.17	513.39	532.27
2.5	121.45	125.81	543.49	561.51	548.95	566.97
5.0	123.47	127.57	552.53	570.87	557.69	576.18
7.5	120.91	124.92	541.04	558.98	546.06	564.17
SE (m)±	1.26	1.74	5.43	7.93	6.31	6.03
CD at 5%	3.63	5.04	15.70	22.93	18.20	17.42
Interaction V×Zn						
SE (m)±	2.51	3.49	10.87	15.87	12.60	12.06
CD at 5%	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.

V₁KWR-108 followed by V₂ KGD1168, V₃Uday and minimum were recorded with the variety V₄ Pragati at 30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest on both the year *i.e.* 2016-17 and 2017-18 data basis. All the

differences between varieties were found significant.

Application of zinc level 5.0 Kg/ha showed maximum number of leaves per plant at all the stages and minimum were recorded under control treatment. Application of zinc 7.5 Kg/ha gave decreasing trend in comparison to 5.0 kg/ha at all the stages on number of leaves per plant both the year. All the differences between nutritional levels of zinc were found significant.

Leaf area (cm²) per plant

The data revealed presented in table 3 the maximum leaf area (cm²) per plant were recorded with the variety V₁KWR-108 followed by V₂ KGD1168, V₃Uday and minimum were recorded with the variety V₄ Pragati at 30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest on both the year *i.e.* 2016-17 and 2017-18 data basis. All the differences between varieties were

found significant.

Application of zinc level 5.0 Kg/ha showed maximum leaf area (cm²) per plant at all the stages and minimum

were recorded under control treatment. Application of zinc 7.5 Kg/ha gave decreasing trend in comparison to 5.0 kg/ha at all the stages on leaf area (cm²) per plant both the year. All the differences between nutritional levels of zinc were found significant.

Relative growth rate

The data revealed presented in table 4 the maximum relative growth rate (mg/g/day) were recorded with the variety V₁KWR-108 followed by V₃Uday, V₂ KGD1168, and minimum were recorded with the variety V₄Pragati at 30 to 60 DAS, on the year 2016-17 data basis. All the differences between varieties were found significant. Application of zinc level 7.5 Kg/ha showed maximum relative growth rate (mg/g/day) at all the stages and minimum were recorded under control treatment. Application of zinc 2.5 Kg/ha gave decreasing trend in comparison to 7.5 kg/ha at all the stages on relative growth rate (mg/g/day) on the year 2016-17. All the differences between nutritional levels of zinc were found significant.

The maximum relative growth rate (mg/g/day) were recorded with the variety V₂ KGD1168 and V₁ KWR-108, V₃ Uday, V₄ Pragati are similar relative growth were recorded with the variety at 30 to 60 DAS, on the year i.e. 2017-18 data basis. All the differences between varieties were found significant. Application of zinc level 5.0 Kg/ha showed maximum relative growth rate (mg/g/day) at all the stages and minimum were recorded under control treatment. Application of zinc 2.5 Kg/ha gave decreasing trend in comparison to 5.0 kg/ha at all the stages on relative growth rate (mg/g/day) on the year 2017-18. All the differences between nutritional levels of zinc were found significant.

The maximum relative growth rate (mg/g/day) were recorded with the variety V₂ KGD 1168 followed by V₁ KWR 108, V₃ Uday and minimum were recorded with the variety V₄ Pragati at 60 to 90 DAS, on the year i.e. 2016-17 data basis. All the differences between varieties were found significant. Application of zinc level 2.5 Kg/ha showed maximum relative growth rate (mg/g/day) at all the stages and minimum were recorded under control treatment. Application of zinc 5.0 Kg/ha gave decreasing trend in comparison to 2.5 kg/ha at all the stages on relative growth rate (mg/g/day) on the year 2016-17. All the differences between nutritional levels of zinc were found significant.

The maximum relative growth rate (mg/g/day) were recorded with the variety V₁ KWR 108 followed by V₂ KGD 1168, V₃ Uday and minimum were recorded with the variety V₄Pragati at 60 to 90 DAS, on the year i.e. 2017-18 data basis. All the differences between varieties

Table 4 : Effect of nutritional level of zinc on relative growth rate (mg/g/day) studies of chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties.

Treatment	Relative growth rate (mg/g/day)			
	30-60 DAS		60-90 DAS	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
Varieties				
V ₁	5.38	5.44	1.51	1.40
V ₂	5.32	5.45	1.55	1.39
V ₃	5.36	5.44	1.49	1.38
V ₄	5.22	5.44	1.48	1.29
SE (m)±	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.02
CD at 5%	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.08
Zinc levels (Kg/ha)				
0	5.26	5.36	1.51	1.36
2.5	5.31	5.45	1.51	1.37
5.0	5.34	5.49	1.48	1.35
7.5	5.37	5.46	1.50	1.37
SE (m)±	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.02
CD at 5%	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Interaction V×Zn				
SE (m)±	0.11	0.11	0.06	0.05
CD at 5%	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.16

Table 5 : Effect of nutritional level of zinc on percentage (%) of pod setting per plant studies of chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties.

Treatment	Percentage (%) of pod setting per plant	
	2016-17	2017-18
Varieties		
V ₁	75.36	75.13
V ₂	75.05	75.04
V ₃	75.07	75.05
V ₄	75.04	75.21
SE (m)±	0.24	N.S.
CD at 5%	0.27	N.S.
Zinc levels (Kg/ha)		
0	75.04	75.04
2.5	75.20	75.05
5.0	75.23	75.29
7.5	75.05	75.04
SE (m)±	0.24	N.S.
CD at 5%	0.27	N.S.
Interaction V×Zn		
SE (m)±	0.48	0.55
CD at 5%	N.S.	N.S.

were found significant. Application of zinc level 7.5 Kg/ha showed maximum relative growth rate (mg/g/day) at all the stages and minimum were recorded under control treatment. Application of zinc 5.0 Kg/ha gave decreasing

Table 6 : Effect of nutritional level of zinc on seed yield (g) per plant studies of chick pea (*Cicer arietinum*L.) varieties.

Treatment	Seed yield (g) per plant		
	2016-17	2017-18	Pooled
Varieties			
V ₁	14.42	15.46	14.94
V ₂	12.97	13.94	13.46
V ₃	12.83	13.83	13.33
V ₄	12.48	13.17	12.82
SE(m)±	0.31	0.37	0.24
CD at 5%	0.91	1.09	0.68
Zinc levels (Kg/ha)			
0	12.32	13.25	12.79
2.5	13.15	14.03	13.59
5.0	13.84	14.80	14.32
7.5	13.39	14.31	13.85
SE(m)±	0.31	0.37	0.24
CD at 5%	0.91	1.09	0.68
Interaction V×Zn			
SE(m)±	0.63	0.75	0.48
CD at 5%	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.

Table 7 : Effect of nutritional level of zinc on protein content (%) in seed of chick pea varieties.

Treatment	Protein content (%) in seed		
	2016-17	2017-18	Pooled
Varieties			
V ₁	20.66	20.65	20.66
V ₂	20.44	20.50	20.47
V ₃	20.35	20.42	20.39
V ₄	20.17	20.24	20.21
SE(m)±	0.04	0.06	0.04
CD at 5%	0.12	0.19	0.11
Zinc levels (Kg/ha)			
0	19.91	19.96	19.94
2.5	20.48	20.55	20.51
5.0	20.80	20.82	20.83
7.5	20.40	20.48	20.44
SE(m)±	0.04	0.06	0.04
CD at 5%	0.12	0.19	0.11
Interaction V×Zn			
SE(m)±	0.08	0.13	0.08
CD at 5%	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.

trend in comparison to 7.5 kg/ha at all the stages on relative growth rate (mg/g/day) on the year 2017-18. All the differences between nutritional levels of zinc were found significant.

Percentage (%) of pod setting per plant

The data revealed presented in table 5 the maximum percentage of pod setting per plant were recorded with the variety V₁ KWR 108 followed by V₃ Uday, V₂ KGD 1168 and minimum were recorded with the variety V₄ Pragati at the year 2016-17 data basis. All the differences between varieties were found significant. The year 2017-18 maximum percentage of pod setting per plant were recorded with the variety V₄ Pragati followed by V₁ KWR 108, V₃ Uday and minimum were recorded with the variety V₂ KGD 1168 on data basis. All the differences between varieties were found significant. Application of zinc level 5.0 Kg/ha showed maximum percentage of pod setting per plant and minimum were recorded under control treatment. Application of zinc 7.5 Kg/ha gave decreasing trend in comparison to 5.0 kg/ha percentage of pod setting per plant at both the year. All the differences between nutritional levels of zinc were found significant.

Seed yield (g) per plant

The data revealed presented in table 6 the maximum seed yield (g) per plant were recorded with the variety V₁ KWR 108 followed by V₂ KGD 1168, V₃ Uday and minimum were recorded with the variety V₄ Pragati the year 2016-17 and 2017-18 data basis. All the differences between varieties were found significant. Application of zinc level 5.0 Kg/ha showed maximum seed yield (g) per plant at all the stages and minimum were recorded under control treatment. Application of zinc 7.5 Kg/ha gave decreasing trend in comparison to 5.0 kg/ha at all the stages. All the differences between nutritional levels of zinc were found significant.

It is revealed from the data presented in table 6 the maximum seed yield (g) per plant were recorded with the variety V₁ KWR 108 followed by V₂ KGD 1168, V₃ Uday and minimum were recorded with the variety V₄ Pragati on pooled data basis of experimentation. All the differences between varieties were found significant. Application of zinc level 5.0 Kg/ha showed maximum seed yield (g) per plant at pooled stage and minimum were recorded under control treatment. Application of zinc 7.5 Kg/ha gave decreasing trend in comparison to 5.0 kg/ha on both year data basis. All the differences between nutritional levels of zinc were found significant.

Protein content (%) in seed

The data revealed presented in table 7 the maximum protein content (%) in seed were recorded with the variety V₁ KWR 108 followed by V₂ KGD 1168, V₃ Uday and minimum were recorded with the variety V₄ Pragati

at both the year *i.e.* 2016-17 and 2017-18 data basis. All the differences between varieties were found significant. Application of zinc level 5.0 Kg/ha showed maximum protein content (%) in seed and minimum were recorded under control treatment. Application of zinc 7.5 Kg/ha gave decreasing trend in comparison to 5.0 kg/ha protein content (%) in seed at both the year. All the differences between nutritional levels of zinc were found significant.

The maximum protein content (%) in seed were recorded with the variety V₁ KWR 108 followed by V₂ KGD 1168, V₃ Uday and minimum were recorded with the variety V₄ Pragati on pooled data basis. All the differences between varieties were found significant. Application of zinc level 5.0 Kg/ha showed maximum protein content (%) in seed and minimum were recorded under control treatment. Application of zinc 7.5 Kg/ha gave decreasing trend in comparison to 5.0 kg/ha protein content (%) in seed on pooled data basis. All the differences between nutritional levels of zinc were found significant.

References

- Anonymous (2015). *Directorate of Economics and Statistics*. Department of agriculture and cooperation, Govt. of India. www.agricoop.nic.in.
- Bohnert, H. J. and R. G. Jensen (1996). Metabolic engineering for increased salt tolerance-the next step. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.*, **23** : 661-666.
- Corbin, E. J., J. Brockwell and R. R. Gault (1977). Nodulation studies on chickpea. *Australian journal of Experimental Agriculture and Animal Husbandry*, **17** : 16-134.
- Graham, R. D. and Z. Rangel (1993). Genotypic Variation in Zn uptake and Utilization by Plants. In : A.D. Robson (ed). *Zn in soil and plants* (pp. 107-114), Dordrecht, the Netherlands;
- Mahajan, S. and N. Tuteja (2005). Cold, salinity and drought stresses: An overview. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, **444** : 139-158.
- Malewar, G. V. and N. S. Randhawa (1978). Zinc, iron, manganese and copper status of Marathwada soil. *J. Mah. Agri. Univ. (Pune)*, **3** : 157-159.
- Pandey, R. K., Raj Kishore, U. S. Tiwari, K. Hussain, S. D. Dubey and H. N. Tiwari (2012). Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) to phosphorus and zinc under irrigated condition. *Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences*, **4** : (2) 174-175
- Rupela, O. P. and P. J. Dart (1979). Research on symbiotic nitrogen fixation by chickpea at ICRISAT. p.162-167. In: *Proc. International Workshop on Chickpea Improvement*, Feb. 8 to March 2, 1979, Hyderabad, India.
- Sharma, L. C., S. Saxena, R. K. Jain, J. Prasad and B. N. Reddy (1983). Survey of nodulation in gram in Rajasthan. *International Chickpea News Letter*, **9** : 24-25.
- Singh, S. Y., Rajendra Prasad and Madan Pal (2014). Effect of variety and zinc application on yield, profitability, protein content and zinc and nitrogen uptake by chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **59** (2) : 317-321.
- Surendra, Kharol, Mahendra Sharma, Murari Lal and H. K. Sumeriya (2014). Productivity of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as influenced by sulphur and zinc under agroclimatic zone IV-A of Rajasthan. *Annals of Biology*, **30**(4) : 676-680.
- Verma, C. B., Lallu and R. S. Yadav (2004). Effect of boron zinc application on growth and yield of pigeonpea. *Indian Journal Pulses Research*, **17** (7) : 149-151.